

# **Dossier Evaluation**

Bob Gilbertson, Professor of Plant Pathology
Chair, Committee on Academic Personnel (CAP)
Oversight Committee
2019-2020



- Academic Senate Committee that provides oversight of the personnel process
  - Advise the Vice Provost of Academic Affairs on all academic personnel actions, including matters of general policy
  - <u>Appoint</u> Faculty Personnel Committees (FPCs) at the college/school level, in consultation with Faculty Executive Committees
  - <u>Evaluate</u> and make recommendations on "major" actions: appointments, appraisals, promotions, high-level and 2 step merits (500-600 per year)
  - Delegate other merit actions to the FPCs

# **CAP Membership**

- Nine members representing major campus units
  - Appointed to a 1-year terms by the Committee on Committees, with possibility of reappointment (~3 new members/year)
  - CAP Members have prior personnel experience

College of Letters & Sciences: Social Sciences College of Letters & Sciences: Mathematics & Physical Science

College of Letters and Sciences: Humanities Arts & Culture

College of Biological Sciences College of Ag & Environmental Sciences

Schools of Law, Graduate Management, Education, Nursing

School of Medicine

School of Veterinary Medicine

College of Engineering

## **How Does CAP Function?**

- Meets weekly, year-round
- Reviews 10-20 dossiers/week
  - Primary reviewer evaluates and presents case
  - CAP members review, discuss, and vote on each dossier
  - Guided by criteria in APM 210 and APM 220
  - CAP recommendation and vote is communicated to the Vice Provost for Academic Affairs (Provost in the case of tenure)
  - CAP Appellate Committee



## **How Does CAP Function?**

- CAP takes a holistic view
  - Doesn't just enumerate accomplishments
- CAP considers field-specific factors
  - Expectations vary widely across academic units and should be clearly explained in the dossier (e.g., number of publications expected per year)
- CAP applies equitable standards across the entire campus

## **How Does CAP Function?**

- Appraisals: positive/guarded/negative
- Promotions: normal time or accelerated (no step-plus)
- Merits: evaluated using Step-Plus criteria
  - 1.0 step: expected 'good or strong' performance in all areas
  - 1.5 steps: **outstanding performance** in one area
  - 2.0 steps: outstanding performance in two areas
  - Research, teaching, service, professional/clinical competence\*
    - \* mostly relevant to Clinical X series in SOM and SVM
- Equity adjustment: CAP considers when overall record is consistent with expectations for a higher step





- Dean's Letter
- Department Letter
  - Vote, comments
- Extramural Letters
  - Appointments/promotions
  - Arms-length and non-arms-length



- Candidate Disclosure Form
- Candidate Statement (strongly recommended)
- Diversity Statement (optional)
- Rebuttal Letter (if applicable)
- Action History

## **Elements of a Dossier**

## Teaching

- List of teaching evaluations
- Peer review (promotions)
- Teaching, Advising, Curricular Development-especially graduate student mentoring

#### Service

- List of Service (university & professional)
- Expectation vary for Asst/Assoc/Full
- Honors & Awards

#### Research & Creative work

- Publication list (articles, chapters, books, etc.)
- Creative activities (art, compositions, performances, etc.)
- Contributions to Jointly Authored
   Work-first/last vs. middle author
- Development of independent program (Asst/Assoc)
- Grants & Contracts (award period, amount, funding agency
- Presentations

# **Preparing Your Dossier**

- Focus on the review period
- Ensure the information is current, accurate and in the correct category
- Errors compromise credibility
- Work closely with
   Department Chair and staff



# Why a Candidate Statement? (strongly recommended)

- A focused, clear, and succinct statement can convey important insights into your work
  - Address your audience: CAP members have diverse backgrounds
- Focus on the quality and significance of your work
  - Why is it important? Why is it innovative?
  - Write a narrative, don't reiterate lists and numbers
  - Identify your distinctive role in jointly-authored work
- Be forthright about your strengths and weaknesses
  - And the steps that you are taking to address the latter
- Mention any unusual circumstances that may have affected performance

# **Teaching**

- Clear evidence of high-quality teaching is an essential criterion for appointment, advancement, and promotion
  - Course load\*, enrollments, evaluations (quantitative and comments), department averages
  - Mentoring
    - Graduate, undergraduate and K-12
    - Other: postdocs, residents, visiting scientists etc.
  - Curriculum development (new courses, new materials, new approach, changes in curriculum)
  - Peer evaluation of teaching (promotions only)
  - Candidate statement: philosophy, goals, self-assessment
  - What constitutes outstanding teaching?

## **Research and Creative Work**

- Evidence the candidate is continuously and effectively engaged in creative activity/research of high quality and significance
  - Originality, creativity, scope, and impact of articles and creative works
  - Quality of journals, book publishers, performance venues
  - The candidate's role in collaborative work-revealed by author position and role in obtaining funding (PI vs. co-PI)
  - Is the candidate establishing an independent research program?
  - Appraisals should include works in-progress to demonstrate trajectory
  - No single factor makes or breaks a case, but there must be some clear evidence of productivity

# **University & Professional Service**

- The faculty play an important role in governance of the university and in service to the community, state, nation, and profession
  - Limited for Assistant Professors; substantive at higher ranks
  - University Service:
    - Department, college, and campus committees; chair of department, grad group, emphasis area; graduate admissions; administration of core facilities
  - Professional and Public Service
    - Professional organizations, conference organizer, editorial boards, grant review panels, ad hoc reviews
    - Membership alone in a group or organization is NOT service
    - · Keep your service record streamlined and updated

# **Tips for Success**

- Balance is good
  - Don't focus intensively on one area to the detriment of others
  - Step Plus actions must first meet expectations of excellence in all areas of evaluation
- Collaborative work: clearly describe your individual contribution, evidence of a leadership role
- Beware of "predatory" online journals, both when publishing and when joining editorial boards

## Resources

- Consult with senior colleagues, including faculty with experience on FPC or CAP
- Read the evaluation criteria in APM 210 and 220
- Use resources available in Academic Affairs
- Teaching resources, e.g., Center for Educational Effectiveness



http://academicaffairs.ucdavis.edu